

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,378	07/16/2001	Morio Yoshimoto	1163-0346P	2948	
2292	7590 12/24/2003		EXAM	INER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			HOOSAIN	HOOSAIN, ALLAN	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2645	8	
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/889,378	YOSHIMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Allan Hoosain	2645	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

	nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🗵	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have been 37 CFR (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.🛛	Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> Allan Hoosain
	Allan Hoosain

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2645

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/889,378

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment introduces a new limitation "wherein the transmission unit is configured to select a specific operation mode". This limitation introduces new issues because it was not earlier presented. The limitation requires a new search..

Continuation of 10. Other: Response to Remarks:

Examiner disagrees with Applicants that Shaffer's error tolerance is not based on line state information. This is because Shaffer determines statistical information based on transmission line parameters (Line state) (Col. 6, lines 5-10). Also, Examiner respectfully disagrees that it is not obvious to combine Shaffer '490 with Shaffer '668. This is because of the same reasons given in the 8/25/03 Office Action. The argument that Shaffer '668 would only "replace" one path with another appears to support Examiner's disagreement because Claim 6 recites adding a line and disconnecting the line based on error levels and Shaffer '668 teaches switching (adding and disconnecting) paths based on error levels. Examiner noted Applicants' interest to discuss matters with respect to allowability and respectfully reiterates his invitation for Applicants to contact Examiner..